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Abstract

The competition in banking industry is running progressively. In the situation where there is not many financing for corporation then bank tend to look closer to their customer. To succeed in this kind of competition bank should change its business paradigm. One of the strategy applied by banks is the Customer Relationship Management (CRM) method to make a closer relationship between company and its customer. The purpose of the closer relationship between company and its customer is to increase the loyalty of customer so that they will continue to consume company products or services. The fine and closer relationship between company and its customers will create a better company reputation.

Employing 106 customers as sample, the research investigate the implementation of three variable of CRM which are acquiring, retaining and partnering. Using literature, interviews, observation and questionnaire to collect data and applying the path analysis the investigation found that all of the three variables showed a positive relationship to CRM of this local regional bank.
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1. Introduction

Customer Relationship Management (CRM) has become not only a new paradigm in making a close relationship with its customer but also one of the companies goal. A close relationship with customers will result in a good reputation of the company and loyal customer. Based on these reasons company should determine the exact market target and manage the CRM well.

Since the 2007 banking in Indonesia, most of corporate financing failed and then banks start to focus on the financing to. To be successful in this market segment banks should shift its paradigm in managing the customer relationship. Info Bank, No.317, Agustus 2005;96) argued that it’s better to manage bank business with individual customers in a great numbers than only with few organizations.
Jabar bank is a local regional government bank operating in West Java and Banten that anticipates the opportunity on focusing on individual customers and applies the Customer Relationship Management (CRM). One of its products is a saving account named as Jabar Oke. As one of its superior, this product is meant to understand the needs of the customers. In the implementation of JABAR Bank still faced some problems in the implementation of CRM as there are some customers complained about its services in the newspaper between 2005 and 2006.

Some of the things that complained by customers such as the process of complaint handling, less information about complaint procedures, low attention of the staff and etc. Some of the customers felt not satisfied with the services.

Some of the characteristics of reputation according to Charles J.Fombrun (1996:72) is: a snapshot that reconciles the multiple images of attractiveness of the company to employees, consumers, investors, suppliers, and local communities. The facts that based on the newspaper the reputation of this Local Regional Bank is still doubted.

Based on the above phenomenon the research focused on the implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) on customers of the saving account Jabar Oke and its effect to Jabar Bank reputation.

2. Problem identification
Based on the above analysis the problem investigated in this research are:
1. How is the implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) in the Jabar Bank Bandung based on its customer’s perception?
2. How is the reputation of Jabar Bank Bandung based on its customer’s perception?
3. What is the effect of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) to the reputation of Jabar Bank Bandung?

3. Theoretical background
As stated by Jangdish N Sheet (2001: 6) Customer Relationship Management (CRM) is a comprehensive strategy and process of acquiring, retaining and partnering with selective customers to create superior value for the company and the customer.”

The definition above underlines the word selective in implementing CRM. The problem is that there are many companies had difficulties in value its customers.

The department of Customer-Service Relations in a company can be seen as a commitment of company to keep good relation with its customers. Good relations with customers result in a good company reputation. The importance of reputation is define by Charles J.Fombrun (1996:72) as “A corporate reputation is a perceptual representation of a company’s past actions and future prospects that describes the firm overall appeal to all of its key constituents when compared with other leading rivals.”
Company reputation positively related the implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM). A good relationship with customers will result in good company reputation. Customer Relationship Management (CRM) is one way to gain a good reputation.

The effect of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) to bank reputation stated by Jarvenpaa et al. dan Stratford (1999:13): “Developing the brand and the bank’s reputation will also act as assurance to the customers.” This argument is in line with many bank professionals who argue that there are a significant relationship between Customer Relationship Management (CRM) program with the formation of bank reputation.

Based on discussion above, the investigation of this research will focus on the implementation of three CRM variables which are acquiring, retaining and partnering to the reputation of Jabar Bank as a local regional government. Th paradigm is draw as followed:

![Paradigm Penelitian](image)

**Figure 3.1 Paradigm Penelitian**

4. Analysis & Hypothesis test

The research used qualitative and quantitative method. The qualitative method is used to analyze data, grouping, tabulated and explained data. Quantitative/ inferential method used to test the hypothesis and determine the effect of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) either partial or simultaneous and either directly or indirectly to the reputation of Jabar Bank Bandung. The method used correlative analysis and continued with path analysis.

Questionnaire employed in this research is a closed questionnaire using ordinal scale based on pada Likert Summated Rating with five alternative answers 1,2,3,4,5 and each
value became indicator for the independent variable (X) which is $X_1, X_2, X_3$; and Y as dependent variable.

The graph for the path analysis is described below:

![Path Diagram](image)

Population in this investigation is all the customers of saving account jabar Oke meanwhile the sample are 106 respondent.

6. Investigation Results
The implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) to the reputation

To test the effect of implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) to bank reputation, the investigation employ F test with major hypothesis as followed:

$H_0 : \text{all } y_{x_i} = 0$

The implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) is not effected to the bank reputation.

$H_1 : \text{one of } y_{x_i} \neq 0$

The implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) is effected to the bank reputation.

From the test we found that the F is $P_{Yxi} = 42.380$ and it is significant at 5% significance level so we have to reject the $H_0$ and conclude that the implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) is effected to the bank reputation.

The minor hypotheses in this research are:
H_0: y_{x_i} = 0 \quad \text{Implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) individually do not affected to the bank reputation.}

H_1: y_{x_i} \neq 0 \quad \text{Implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) individually effected to the bank reputation.}

This minor hypotheses are tested using t test to test each variable 

- **acquiring** (X_1), 
- **Retaining** (X_2), 
- **partnering** (X_3) to the bank reputation (Y):

From the investigation the t test of each independent variable are:

- \text{PYX}_1 = 2.27996
- \text{PYX}_2 = 2.03642
- \text{PYX}_3 = 2.32835

From these t value we found that each of the independent variable is significant so that we reject each of the minor hypotheses and conclude all of the three independent variable 

- **acquiring** (X_1), 
- **Retaining** (X_2), 
- **partnering** (X_3), individually and significantly affected to the bank reputation.

The Pearson coefficient correlation is employed to get the correlation and the values are as followed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X1</th>
<th>X2</th>
<th>X3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.44575</td>
<td>0.62924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>0.44739</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.44739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>0.62924</td>
<td>0.44739</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of the implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) to the bank reputation is described as followed:
From the above picture we can determine the effect of one variable to another either directly or indirectly as followed:

**A. The effect of X₁**

1. Direct effect of variable X₁ to Y:

\[ Y \rightarrow X₁ \rightarrow Y = Pyx₁ \times Pyx₁ \]
\[ = 0.186 \times 0.186 \]
\[ = 0.034459 \]

The direct effect of variable X₁ to Y is a direct effect acquiring to reputation and the path coefficient is 0.034459.

2. Indirect effect variable X₁ to variable Y through variable X₂:

\[ Y \leftarrow X₁ \Omega X₂ \rightarrow Y = Pyx₁ \times rx₁x₂ \times Pyx₂ \]
\[ = 0.186 \times 0.44575 \times 0.145 \]
\[ = 0.012021 \]

Indirect effect variable X₁ to Y through variable X₂ is the indirect effect of acquiring to the bank reputation through Retaining, and the path coefficient is 0.012021.

3. Indirect effect variable X₁ to variable Y through variable X₃:

\[ Y \leftarrow X₁ \Omega X₃ \rightarrow Y = Pyx₁ \times rx₁x₃ \times Pyx₃ \]
\[ = 0.186 \times 0.62924 \times 0.259 \]
Indirect effect variable $X_1$ to variable $Y$ through variable $X_3$ is the indirect effect of acquiring to the bank reputation through partnering, and the path coefficient is 0.030189.

4. Total effect of variable $X_1$ to variable $Y$:
\[
= 0.034459 + 0.012021 + 0.030189 \\
= 0.076772 = 7.6772\% 
\]
The total effect of $X_1$ to $Y$ is the summation all of the path coefficient of acquiring variable to the bank reputation either directly or indirectly through Retaining dan partnering. The Total coefficient is 0.076772 or about 7.6772%, which means that about 7.6772% variation in the reputation is affected by acquiring, meanwhile the rest of the value about 92.3228% is affected by Retaining dan Partnering and other variable outside the investigation.

B. The effect of $X_2$

1. Direct effect of $X_2$ to $Y$:
\[
Y \leftarrow X_2 \rightarrow Y = Pyx_2 * Pyx_2 \\
= 0.145 * 0.145 \\
= 0.021112
\]
Direct effect of $X_2$ to $Y$ is a direct effect of Retaining to reputation and the path coefficient is 0.021112.

2. Indirect of $X_2$ to $Y$ through $X_1$:
\[
Y \leftarrow X_2 \Omega X_1 \rightarrow Y = Pyx_2 * rx_{2x1} * Pyx_1 \\
= 0.145 * 0.44575 * 0.186 \\
= 0.012021
\]
Indirect effect of $X_2$ to $Y$ through $X_1$ is indirect effect of Retaining to reputation through acquiring, and the path coefficient is 0.012021.

3. Indirect effect of $X_2$ to $Y$ through $X_3$:
\[
Y \leftarrow X_2 \Omega X_3 \rightarrow Y = Pyx_2 * rx_{2x3} * Pyx_3 \\
= 0.145 * 0.44739 * 0.259 \\
= 0.01680
\]
Indirect effect of $X_2$ to $Y$ through $X_3$ is indirect effect of Retaining to reputation through partnering, and the path coefficient is 0.01680.

4. Total Effect of $X_2$ to $Y$ is :
\[
= 0.021112 + 0.012021 + 0.01680 \\
= 0.049933= 4.9933\%
\]
The total effect of $X_2$ to $Y$ is the summation of all path coefficient of *Retaining* to the reputation of bank either directly or indirectly through the *acquiring* and *partnering*. The total coefficient is $0.049933$ or about $4.9933\%$, which means $4.9933\%$ variation in reputation effected by *Retaining*, meanwhile the rest of the value about $95.0067\%$ is effected by *acquiring* and *partnering* and other variable outside the investigation.

**C. The effect of $X_3$**

1. Direct effect of $X_3$ to $Y$:
   \[
   Y \leftarrow X_3 \rightarrow Y = Py_{x_3} \times Py_{x_3} = 0.259 \times 0.259 = 0.066822
   \]
   Direct effect $X_1$ to $Y$ is the direct effect of *partnering* to reputation and the path coefficient is $0.066822$.

2. Indirect effect $X_3$ to $Y$ through $X_1$:
   \[
   Y \leftarrow X_3 \Omega X_1 \rightarrow Y = Py_{x_3} \times rx_{x_3 \times x_1} \times Py_{x_1} = 0.259 \times 0.62924 \times 0.186 = 0.030189
   \]
   Indirect effect of $X_3$ to $Y$ through $X_1$ is the indirect effect of *partnering* to reputation through *acquiring* and the path coefficient is $0.030189$.

3. Indirect effect of $X_3$ to $Y$ through $X_2$:
   \[
   Y \leftarrow X_4 \Omega X_2 \rightarrow Y = Py_{x_3} \times rx_{x_3 \times x_2} \times Py_{x_2} = 0.259 \times 0.44739 \times 0.145 = 0.016804
   \]
   Indirect effect of $X_3$ to $Y$ through $X_2$ is indirect effect of *partnering* to reputation through *Retaining*, and the path coefficient is $0.016804$.

4. Total effect of $X_3$ to $Y$:
   \[
   = 0.066822 + 0.030189 + 0.016804
   = 0.113815 = 11.3815\%
   \]
   The total effect of $X_3$ to $Y$ is the summation of all path coefficient *partnering* to the reputation either directly or indirectly through *acquiring* dan *Retaining*. The total coefficient is $0.113815$ or about $11.3815\%$, which means $1.3815\%$ variation in reputation effected by *partnering*, meanwhile the rest of the value $88.6185\%$ is effected by *acquiring* dan *Retaining* and other variable outside the investigation.

### Tabel 4.3

**The effect of CRM To Jabar Bank Reputation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Path coefficient</th>
<th>Direct effect</th>
<th>Indirect effect</th>
<th>total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Acquiring</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>3.4459</td>
<td>4.221</td>
<td>7.6772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the findings above the investigation have the following pre conclusion::

A. The effect of implementation Acquiring to the reputation of Jabar Bank

Variable acquiring is the second variable of CRM that effected to reputation of Jabar Bank with the value of 4.221%. Totally the effect of acquiring is 7.6772, with path coefficient 0.186. This path coefficient is positive which means the better the implementation of acquiring then the better the bank reputation.

B. The effect of implementation Retaining to the Reputation of Jabar Bank

Variable Retaining has the lowest effect to reputation among other variables. Direct effect of Retaining to bank reputation is 2.1112 and the indirect effect is about 2.8821%, so that the total effect is 4.9933 %, with the path coefficient 0.145. This path coefficient showed a positive relation which means the better the implementation of Retaining then the better the reputation.

C. The effect of implementation Partnering to the reputation of Jabar Bank.

Variable partnering has the highest effect to reputation among other variables with the value of 11.3815%, and the path coefficient is 0.259. Direct effect of this variable is about 6.6822 %, and the indirect effect is 4.6993%. The path coefficient also showed a positive relation which means that the implementation of partnering then the better bank the bank reputation.

7. Concluding remarks and suggestion

7.1. Conclusion

Based on the investigation there are some conclusion about the implementation of CRM:

1. Basically the implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) by this local regional bank is implemented well. This can be seen from the positive response from customers to Customer Relationship Management (CRM) indicators.
   a. The implementation of Acquiring indicators such as administration fee, service from front office and sponsorship had affected respondents to become the customer.
   b. The implementation of Retaining indicators such as the service to customers, the quality of service and products, the unique of service, implementation of loyalty, the ease of service and attention from customer service had a positive response from customers affected respondents to become the customer.
   c. The implementation of Partnering indicators such as the variety and numbers of product offered, customer affinity had affected some of customers to buy other products of this bank.

2. Jabar Bank as local regional government bank has do its best to have a good reputation. This can be seen from the effort to serve its customers as they promise to, the quality of services, the skill to serve the best, the credibility and the ability to gain the trust from customers.
3. Based on F test, there is positive effect of the implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) to the reputation of Jabar Bank. The same findings also can be seen from the t test of the CRM variables which are acquiring, retaining, dan partnering. Variables partnering had the highest effect to bank reputation, the second is acquiring and the last is retaining.

7.2. Suggestion

Some suggestions from this investigation are:
1. The investigation make some suggestion to improve the implementation of Customer Relationship Management (CRM),
   a. The quality of front office staffs should be improve specially in product knowledge and interaction skill with customers.
   b. The information about promotion should be inform to its branch office at time so that all staff aware of the activity and able to support the goal.
2. The bank and customers meetings should be increase and the activity can be done informally through social activity such as sport, music etc.
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